

No. 9(1)82-8Lab./3027.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Gainda Mal-Chiranjil Lal, Kalka (Ambala).—

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 22 of 1982.

between

SHRI SIDAK RAJ, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S GAINDA  
MAL-CHIRANJIL LAL, KALKA (AMBALA)

Workman in person.

Shri Satya Parkash, for the management.

### AWARD

This reference No. 22 of 1982 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana, —vide his order No. ID/AMB/165/81/3737, dated 21st January, 1982, under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Sidak Raj, workman and the management of M/s Gainda Mal-Chiranjil Lal, Kalka (Ambala). The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sidak Raj was justified and in order?

If not, what relief is he entitled to?

After receiving this reference, notices were sent to the parties for 8th March, 1982 at Ambala Cantt. for filing of claim statement. On 8th March, 1982, the parties appeared and the workman made a statement in this Court that he had taken back on duty by the management. He further stated that there is no claims or dispute against the management. This statement was duly admitted by the representative of the management.

In the light of the above statement of the parties, I hold that there is no dispute between the parties on the issue so referred to this Court for adjudication. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

Dated the 11th March, 1982.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

• Endst. 668, dated the 19th March, 1982.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 with the request that the receipt of the above said award may please be acknowledged within week's time.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

• The 19th April, 1982.

No. 9 (1)-82-8Lab./3070.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Brar Cloth and Finishing Mills, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 180 of 1979

between

SHRI MAHESH NARAIN, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S.  
BRAR CLOTH AND FINISHING MILLS, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

Present:—

Shri S. R. Gupta, for the workman.  
Shri Surinder Singh, for the management.

## AWARD

By order of reference No. ID/FD/30-79/25246, dated 13th June, 1979, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Brar Cloth and Finishing Mills, Mathura Road, Faridabad and its workman Shri Mahesh Narain, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Mahesh Narain was justified and in order ?  
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On the receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties who appeared and filed their pleadings. Five issues were framed by my learned predecessor on 20th February, 1980 and the case was fixed for the evidence of the management who examined Shri O. P. Tyagi, Enquiry Officer. The workman examined himself and closed his case. It was fixed for arguments when the parties prayed for settlement. The workman accepted payment of Rs 8,000 as his full and final settlement of his claim and made a statement that now there remained no dispute with the management.

I, therefore, give my award that the parties have settled their dispute amicably and there nothing remained for adjudication.

Dated the 18th March, 1982.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,  
Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endust. No. 308, dated the 19th March, 1982

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,  
Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9(1-82-8Lab./3161.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Kirloskar Oil Engines Ltd., Faridabad Branch through its Head Office i. e. M/s. Kirloskar Oil Engine Ltd., 13 Elphinstin Road, Kirkee, Poona-3.

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Complaint No. 10 of 1981 under section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947

between

SHRI ASHOK KUMAR SONI, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S  
KIRLOSKAR OIL ENGINES LTD., FARIDABAD BRANCH THROUGH ITS HEAD  
OFFICE, i. e., M/S KIRLOSKAR OIL ENGINES LTD., 13 ELPHINSTIN ROAD,  
KIRKEE, POONA-3.

Present :

Shri S. R. Gupta, for the workman.

Shri K. K. Khosla, for the management.

## AWARD

This is a complaint under section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 filed by the workman. Notice of the complaint was sent to the opposite party, who filed reply. The workman also filed rejoinder. On the pleadings of the parties, following issues were framed on 7th January, 1982 :—

1. Whether the present complaint is maintainable ?
2. Whether the management contravened the provision of section of the I. D. Act ?
3. Relief.

And the case was fixed for the evidence of the workman on issue No. 1. But on the date fixed the parties filed a joint application in which it was mentioned that the dispute had been settled mutually. The application was signed by both the parties. The representative for the management Shri K. K. Khosla and the workman concerned also agreed to it. In view of the statements given by the parties and joint application, I give my award that the dispute had been settled between the parties mutually.

Dated, the 24th February, 1982.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,  
Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 258, dated 15th March, 1982

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,  
Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

H.L. GUGNANI,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Labour and Employment Department.